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ABOUT
Journal of Advances in Humanities and Social Sciences (JAHSS) is published by TAF Publishing. JAHSS is a double-blind peer-reviewed journal dedicated to advancing the field of humanities and social sciences. We publish cutting edge research that transcends across different fields of social sciences and humanities. JAHSS broadly contributes to the field of Education, Psychology, Philosophy, Law, Politics, Archeology, History, Geography, Public Policies, Government, Sociology, Demography, Social Work, Communication, Environment, Human Technology Interaction, Cultural Studies, Anthropology, Linguistics, Food and Health, International Relations. In contrast to other journals in humanities and social sciences, the JAHSS is unique in a sense that the journal highly encourages interdisciplinary studies. We invite original submissions from all fields of social sciences and humanities.

PUBLICATION FREQUENCY
JAHSS publishes six (06) issues a year.

AIM & SCOPE
Journal of Advances in Humanities and Social Sciences (JAHSS) is a double-blind peer-reviewed journal dedicated to advancing the field of humanities and social sciences. We publish cutting edge research that transcends across different fields of social sciences and humanities. JAHSS broadly contributes to the field of Education, Psychology, Philosophy, Law, Politics, Archeology, History, Geography, Public Policies, Government, Sociology, Demography, Social Work, Communication, Environment, Human Technology Interaction, Cultural Studies, Anthropology, Linguistics, Food and Health, International Relations. JAHSS occasionally invites guest editors to organize special issues devoted to certain themes in the humanities and social sciences. It aims to bridge diverse communities of the humanities and social sciences and provide a platform of academic exchange for scholars across the globe. Article published in this journal includes original quantitative studies, reviews, letters, informative articles, brief communication, experimental studies, case studies, and article of professional interest. JAHSS publishes six (06) issues a year.
ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGES

This journal publishes all the articles in open access which makes them freely available online right after publication. This convenience is made possible by an article-processing charge (APC) that covers the costs of various publishing services we deliver. By paying this amount, you can avail the facilities of online tools for editors and authors, article production and hosting, liaison with abstracting and indexing services, and customer services. The APC for publishing a manuscript in Journal of Advances in Humanities and Social Sciences (JAHSS) are Euro 250, and it is payable before publication and after editorial acceptance of your manuscript.

Open Access

All the peer-reviewed research articles published in this journal are given open access. This feature makes the articles universally and freely accessible over internet for as many times as desired by the users, and is available in a reader-friendly format right after publication. All articles will get published as per the requirements of the following license: Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND): This license entitles the third party users to use the content for non-commercial purposes, distribute and copy the article, and include the content in any collective work (such as an anthology), with the condition that the author(s) will be given due credit and no alteration or modification will be done in the article.
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Ethics

Editors hold the following responsibilities:

- All the steps involved in evaluation should be strictly adhered to so that the eminence of the research can be maintained. Any decision concerning the acceptance, rejection, major and minor revision of any paper should be made on the basis of the standard quality guidelines of the respective journal.

- When any paper is being submitted, author should guarantee the authorities that the paper has not been submitted for getting published in any other journal. On the same lines, Editor is also not allowed to submit the work under review with any other person or institution except with the consent of the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers and the publisher.

- The sole criterion of evaluation should be the eminence of research used in the paper and should not be biased in any sense.

Reviewers need to fulfill the following duties:

- The effective blinded peer review process facilitates the editors to improve the paper quality and take accurate decisions regarding every submission.

- It is important that all the submissions considered for peer review should be taken as the secret essays and editor needs to keep them confidential throughout the process.

- If the concerned reviewer is not able to review the manuscript within the given deadline, it should be brought to the editor's knowledge. Even if the reviewer does not have the skills and expertise for manuscript review, it should be duly informed to the editor.

- The reviewer should check for the fact that the works cited in the paper are actually backed by the corresponding research works. It is the duty of the reviewer to make sure that the materials given in the article are backed by authentic citations and should make out any work not considered by the author. If reviewer doubts the submitted manuscript to be plagiarized, then he/she must apprise the editor of this information.

- Author should not be targeted with personal critique. Referees should support the author's efforts through their comments.
Authors should be aware of the following facts:

- The manuscripts that are found to be plagiarized above the minimum tolerable level will be rejected before entering into the review phase. The concerned personnel should reconfirm the manuscript’s originality by meticulously checking the document for any trace of plagiarism.
- Author should guarantee that the submitted manuscript is not being considered for publication in any other journal. It will be considered against ethics if any manuscript is found to be under review with more than one journal.
- Authors should explicitly mention the reactions of the chemicals, procedures or equipment utilized in the research if any.
- Only the real contributor of the paper can claim to be the owner of the manuscript submitted. Authors’ names should be stated according to their contributions in the paper. Author should only add the names of those people who were actually involved in bringing together of the corresponding manuscript.

**PEER REVIEW PROCESS AND TIME**

Journal of Advances in Humanities and Social Sciences (JAHSS) operates a strictly anonymous peer-review process in which the reviewers’ names are withheld from the author(s) and, the authors' name from the reviewer.

**Desk Review:** On receiving a manuscript, the editor conducts a preliminary screening to assess the degree to which the manuscript fits the criteria in JAHSS’s ‘Aims’ and ‘Mission statement. Submissions that fail to satisfy our criteria may be returned to the authors either as a desk reject or desk edit. In case of desk edit, the authors are asked to edit the manuscript and then resubmit.

**Review process:** For each manuscript that passes the desk review, the editor assigns an action editor (either him- or herself or an associate editor or a guest editor) and two reviewers. The manuscript's action editor sends the manuscript for review to two reviewers who are specialists in their fields. After receiving the reviewers’ comments on the manuscript, the action editor makes publication decisions about it. However, these decisions are made in conjunction with recommendations provided by the reviewers. All submissions are blind reviewed; manuscripts prepared in a way that compromises blind review may be returned for revision prior to being submitted to the reviewers.

**Review Time:** The journal strives to provide developmental and constructive feedback to authors within approximately five weeks. The peer-review process usually takes four weeks depending upon the manuscript size and availability of the editorial members. However, the initial quality of the manuscript can dramatically influence both the efficiency and effectiveness of review process. The better developed a manuscript and the ideas it contains, the easier it will be to review, and provide timely feedback to authors. We therefore encourage authors to ask scholarly colleagues to review their work prior to submission to the JAHSS. The submission made to the conferences organized by TAF Publishing generally do not go through peer-review process because the submission made to TAF Publishing conferences already go through a rigorous review process. For manuscripts already presented at TAF Publishing conferences, the action editor usually makes a decision for possible acceptance, rejection or revision.

**AUTHORSHIP**

To give appropriate credit to each author, the individual contributions of authors should be specified in the manuscript. An 'author' is generally considered to be someone who has made substantive
intellectual contributions to a published study. According to the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) guidelines, to qualify as an author one should have:

- made substantial contributions to conception and design, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data;
- been involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content;
- given final approval of the version to be published. Each author should have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for appropriate portions of the content; and
- agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Changes to authorship
Authors should be careful while listing and ordering author before submission. They should provide final list of authors at the time of manuscript submission. All the addition, deletion, or rearrangement of author should be confirmed before submission. Once submitted any changes will be done only if authorized by the editor of JAHS. On request to such changes author need to give the reason for changes in the author list and written confirmation from all the authors. Consent of author that has been added or removed will be necessary. Such changes are considered by the editor in only exceptional cases. In case the manuscript has been published such requests are suspended or if approved by the editor will result in a corrigendum.

CODE OF CONDUCT

- The Editor ought to make sure that all articles accepted for publication are assessed by two reviewers
- The Editor shouldn't create choices concerning manuscripts regarding that they'll have a conflict of interest. In such instances, a senior member of the Editorial Board ought to be appointed to assume responsibility for overseeing peer-review and creating choices concerning acceptance or rejection.
- All of the responsibilities ought to be carried exactly among the timeframe.
- Just in case of any delays, there ought to be a right away consultation with the authors.
- The whole method ought to be clear and within the structured flow.
- Though the Editor could publish in their own journal, a senior member of the Editorial Board is appointed to assume responsibility of overseeing the peer-review method.
- Editors ought to give knowledgeable service to authors. Correspondence ought to be handled in a very timely and respectful manner, and economical and thorough peer review dispensed.
- Systems ought to be in situ to make sure editorial workers’ absences don’t end in a reduced service to authors.
- Knowledgeable service to authors is anticipated.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST
Public trust in the scientific process and the credibility of published articles are dependent partially on the fair and transparent handling of conflicts of interest while processes like planning, implementation, writing, peer review, editing, and publication of scientific work are going on. A conflict of interest arises when professional judgment about a primary interest (such as patients’ welfare or the validity of research) might get affected by a secondary interest (such as financial gain). Discernments of conflict of interest hold the same importance as the actual conflicts of interest. Financial relationships (such as employment, consultancies, stock ownership or options, honoraria,
patents, and paid expert testimony) are the types of conflicts of interest that can be spotted easily and directly weaken the credibility of the journal, the authors, and the entire discipline. However, conflicts can arise due to other causes as well, such as personal relationships or enmities, academic competition, and intellectual dogmas. Authors need to be wary while signing any agreement with the study sponsors, whether for-profit or nonprofit, as such agreements can limit author’s access to the complete study’s data or their freedom to analyze and interpret the data and present the manuscripts independently according to their own will.

1. Participants
All people playing a part in the peer-review and publication process i.e., authors, peer reviewers, editors, and editorial board members of journals, should always keep their individual conflicts of interest in mind while they perform their roles during the article review and publication and are liable to openly state the relationships that can be a cause of conflicts of interest.

a. Authors
Authors, while submitting their manuscripts of any given type or format, should ensure that they disclose all the financial and personal relationships that can lead to conflict or bias later on. The ICMJE has formulated a Form for Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest for offering standard Recommendations for the Conduct, Reporting, Editing, and Publication of Scholarly Work in Medical Journals. The ICMJE member journals facilitate authors with this form while disclosing conflict of interest, and the ICMJE also promotes the practice for the other journals to follow.

b. Peer Reviewers
Reviewers, when checking a manuscript critically, should be interviewed whether they have any conflicts of interest that can make the review process difficult. Reviewers should openly discuss with the editors all the possible means of conflicts of interest leading to biased judgement for the manuscript, and should avoid taking the responsibility of reviewing those manuscripts that exhibit higher risk of biased judgment. Reviewers should not violate the author's rights over the manuscripts and avoid using the manuscript's content for their own interest.

c. Editors and Journal Staff
Editors who give the final verdict for the manuscripts should refrain from continuing the process if conflicts of interest exist or relationships leading to potential conflicts regarding the articles under consideration have been disclosed. Other editorial staff members who play a part in the editorial decisions must let the editors know their present financial interests or other conflicts (that might affect the editorial judgments) and should avoid participating in the decision that has a visible conflict of interest. Editorial staff must never exploit the content of manuscripts for their personal interest. Editors should release regular disclosure statements about possible conflicts of interests concerning the obligations of journal staff. Same procedures apply to the guest editors.

2. Reporting Conflicts of Interest
Articles should have, at the time of publishing, statements or supporting documents, such as the conflict of interest form, proclaiming: – Authors’ conflicts of interest; and – Sources of support for the work, including sponsor names with descriptions of the role of those sources if any in study design; collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; report compilation; the decision of report submission for publication; or a statement affirming no association of the supporting source; and – evidence of
authors’ access to the study data, while highlighting the nature and extent of access, particularly its on-going nature. For bolstering the above statements or documents, editors may require the authors of a study having a funding sponsor with a proprietary or financial interest to get a statement formally signed, such as “I had full access to all of the data in this study and I take complete responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.”

Author Agreement Form

COPYRIGHTS

- Copyright on any open access article in a journal published by TAF Publishing is retained by the author(s). Authors grant TAF Publishing a license to publish the article and identify itself as the original publisher. Authors also grant any third party the right to use the article freely as long as its integrity is maintained and its original authors, citation details and publisher are identified.
- The Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives (CC BY-NC-ND) formalizes these and other terms and conditions of publishing articles.

Exceptions to copyright policy

There may be exceptions concerning copyright and licensing for articles which were previously published under policies that are different from the above. For instance, different licensing conditions may then apply. In all such cases, however, access to these articles is free from fees or any other access restrictions.

Retractions and corrections

Should any paper be removed from a Journal that has already been revealed, that paper will be removed from the PDF version of the Journal in such the way that it doesn’t alter the page numbers of different papers revealed in the same issue of the Journal. The authors of the removed manuscript could also be subject to republication fees (if applicable). Corrected versions of the Journal are created on the market on the Journal website along with all the applicable indices.

Corrections that require to be created to associate already revealed Journal are going to be handled in a manner that it doesn’t have an effect on any of the other papers revealed therein issue. If the correction is required for an author's error, a republication fee might apply. Corrections made for the publisher error are going to be handled free of charge. Corrected versions of the Journal are going to be created accessible on the Journal website, along with all the applicable indices.

Appeal and complaints

The below procedure applies to appeals to editorial selections, complaints concerning failure of processes like long delays in handling papers and complaints concerning publication ethics. The criticism should be handled by the Editor-in-Chief(s) answerable for the journal and/or the Editor who handled the paper. If they're the topic of the criticism, please approach the in-house publication contact. (Please check the contacts page on the journal homepage. If no publication contact is known, send the question to contact.jahss@tafpublications.com)

Complaint about scientific content, e.g., an appeal against rejection

The Editor-in-Chief or Handling Editor considers the authors’ argument, the reviewer reports and decides whether or not

- The choice to reject ought to stand;
- Another freelance opinion is needed
The appeal ought to be thought of. The litigator is educated of the choice with a proof if acceptable. Decisions on appeals are final and new submissions take priority over appeals.

**Complaint concerning processes, e.g., time taken to review**
The Editor-in-Chief along with the Handling Editor (where appropriate) and/or in-house contact (where appropriate) can investigate the matter. The litigator is going to be given acceptable feedback. Feedback is provided to relevant stakeholders to enhance processes and procedures.

**OPEN ACCESS ARCHIVING POLICY**

**The Green Route – Open Access Archiving Policy**
Authors have the opportunity of turning their articles into Open Access by using the Green route for archival of their articles free of charge. Authors having their work published in subscription journal can avail this opportunity by depositing version 2 of their article i.e. the one accepted for publication in their respective institution’s repository.

- Copyright of the work remains with the author.
- Total discretion of version 1 of the article that is submitted to the journal remains with the author.
- After acceptance of article for publication, version 2 or the accepted version can be submitted anywhere by the author e.g., on a personal website, department’s website or the repository of the respective institution with no further limitations.
- Article’s version 2 is not allowed to be submitted to any repository other than the ones specified above. These exclusions include another institution’s repository or a subject repository. This restriction applies until 12 months after first publication of the article in the journal.
- Authors can provide the version 3 i.e., the published version to research colleagues or keep as their own teaching aid, ensuring that such delivery is not commercial in any sense.
- Version 3 can be used in a book being written by the author or can be edited as desired once publication in the journal is confirmed.
- Version 3 is not allowed to be submitted to any website or any repository without formal consent of TAF Publishing.
- Link to the appropriate DOI needs to be added in all the posts having the concerned article to ensure the readers are directed straight to the published version of the article on TAF Publishing Journals (http://tafpublications.com).

**GUIDE FOR AUTHORS**
All submissions should be made using a Word Processing file from which title page (including author name, affiliation, any acknowledgment or author notes) must be removed. After removing the author(s) details, go to the web site here and follow the directions. Each article usually has two part:

i. Main Manuscript
ii. Title Page not for Review.

The manuscript should include everything (i.e. Title, Abstract, Key Words, Introduction, Literature Review, Methods, Results, Findings References, Tables and Graphs) except for the authors, names, affiliation, addresses, acknowledgements, and any other information that may be used to identify the author(s). All acknowledgements of others’ help in research should be included in the ‘Title Page not for Review’ that is featured as part of web-based submission process.
Criteria for Publication
To be published in JAHSS, a manuscript must make strong theoretical and empirical contributions to the field of engineering and technology. Authors interested in publishing with JAHSS should strive to produce original, interesting, valuable, insightful, and important research. The acceptance of article for publication in JAHSS highly depends upon the originality, value, importance, and significance of your research. Submissions that do not offer strong theoretical and/or empirical contribution will not be reviewed.

Each submitted manuscript would be assigned to action editor for evaluation. The action editor will decide whether to forward the manuscript to the reviewers. Typically, papers should be no longer than 40 double-spaced pages (using one-inch margins, left aligned, and Times New Roman 12-point font) including references, tables, figures, and appendixes.

Submission Requirements
Before submitting a manuscript to JAHSS, the authors must make sure and confirm that
i. Their manuscript has not already been published elsewhere, is not under review for publication elsewhere and will not be submitted to any other journal during the review period at JAHSS. Articles presented and published in the proceedings of any academic conferences or workshops will be considered for publication in JAHSS.
ii. Their manuscript has not previously been submitted to JAHSS for review.
iii. The working papers or prior drafts of submitted manuscript that are posted on a website (e.g., Personal, Departmental, University, Organizational) or a database will be taken down during the review process.

Screening for Plagiarism
All the submitted manuscript would be checked for plagiarism using turnitin®

Formatting
The in-text Citations, Tables, Figures/Images, References, and Appendixes. Manuscripts that do not commensurate with the formatting guidelines may be returned by the action editor to the authors for revision prior to submission to the full review process.
All submissions are required to be formatted according to the guidelines available here.

ONLINE SUBMISSION
Once align with the guidelines of JAHSS manuscript can be submitted at the link below;
http://manuscriptsubmission.com/jahss/