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Abstract
This paper aims to demonstrate whether budgetary participation, budgetary slack, authority delegation and organizational commitment positively affect managerial performance of local government work unit. This empirical study is conducted with local government work unit in district/city in Lampung Province, uses primary data by distributing questionnaires to 601 respondents, and tested by using SME. The study result proves that participation in budgeting preparation, budgetary slack, authority delegation, and organizational commitment will increase managerial performance of local government work unit in Lampung District/City.

INTRODUCTION
To determine a budgeting, in this matter, a process is needed, Mardiasmo (2005) stated that a stage of budgeting is an important thing because ineffective budgeting and it is not oriented to performance will be able to fail the plan that has been set. Budgeting is a managerial plan of action to facilitate the achievement of organization’s goal. After the process of organization goal achievement, performance evaluation is needed to be done. The performance evaluation of public sector can be obtained from financial report that can be measured based on the budgeting that has been made. Where it evaluation can be done by analyzing variances between actual performance and the one that is budgeted, where analysis of variances will focus on income variances and spending variances (regular spending variances and investment/capital spending variances) (Burki, 2017; Mardiasmo, 2002).

Young (1985) stated budgetary slack as an action estimating lower income and higher cost when agent is given an opportunity to choose work standard to improve performance. It will negatively affect public sector organization, which is when there is mistake on resource allocation and bias in performance evaluation of agent on its responsibility unit (Suartana, 2010; Wartika, Surendro, Satramihardja, & Supriana, 2015). However, in the study from Blanchette, Pilote, and Cadieux (2002), it stated that budgetary slack conducted ethically will be able to improve performance. In Lampung Province, in 2014, determined income budgeting plan had decreased from the previous year, while determined expense budgeting plan had increased. It indicates the existence of budgetary slack in budgeting preparation, especially income budgeting. Decentralization authority delegation is needed because in decentralized structure of managers/subordinates are given bigger authority and responsibility in decision mak-
ing. According to Miah and Mia (1996), decentralization is how far higher manager allows lower manager to take decision independently. It is supported by study of Gul, Tsui, Fong, and Kwok (1995) stating that budgetary participation on performance will positively affect organization that its authority delegation is decentralization. Different from the study of Miah and Mia (1996), Marani (2003) stating that decentralization does not positively affect managerial performance. The responsibility in delegating from top manager to lower manager will bring consequence of bigger responsibility of lower manager toward implementation of decision made. Futhermore, the study of Rachmawati (2009) and Setyarto (2008) proved that organizational commitment positively affects the performance of employees.

Based on the phenomena, theory and previous study results, then, the writer is willing to ensure more whether budgetary participation, budgetary slack, authority delegation, and organizational commitment will positively affect managerial performance of local government work unit (this empirical study is done in districts/cities in Lampung Province). For local government, the result of this study is expected to be able to give information about factors that affect managerial performance, especially in budgeting preparation at the level of local government work unit that manages Local Income.

LITERATURE REVIEW

According to Milani (1975), budgetary participation is a level of manager participation in making budgeting where budgeting used will be an instrument in defining performance. Brownell (1982) defined participatory budgeting as a level of participation and individual influence in making budgeting. Milani (1975) also argued that the level of participation and the influence of subordinate in budgeting toward decision making in budgeting process is the main factor that differentiates between participatory budgeting (Cebeci, 2016; Setyarto, 2008), so it allows subordinate to negotiate with superior in accordance with their targeted budgeting that can be obtained (Brownell & McInnes, 1986; Dunk, 1993). According to Anthony and Govindarajan (2005) budgetary slack is the difference of budgeting amount proposed by subordinate with the best estimation amount of organization. Budgetary slack is done by subordinate which is by presenting budgeting with low difficulty level so that it is obtained easily and this discrepancy tends to be done by subordinate because of knowing that their performance is measured by the level of budgeting attainment that has been determined together. According to Dunk (1993) budgetary slack is defined as the express incorporation of budget amounts that make it easier to attain. Budgeting discrepancy can easily occur if information owned by subordinate is more than information owned by superior (principal) about a responsibility center.

Authority delegation is authority giving by higher manager to lower manager to conduct a work with authorization explicitly from manager who gives authority at the time the authority is implemented (Marani, 2003). According to Bruns and Waterhouse (1975), managers in an organization with high level of decentralization feels that they are the most influencing, participate more in budgeting plan and feel satisfied by activity relating to budgeting. Contrarily, in organization with low decentralization (centralization), managers feel that they are considered less responsible, less involve in budgeting plan and having pressure from superiors.

Brownell (1982) defined organizational commitment as: the relative strength of an individual's identification with and involvement in a particular organization. This organizational commitment proposed by Mowday has characteristics, which are: (1) strong belief and acceptance toward goal and value of organization; (2) readiness to work hard; (3) strong willingness to stand in organization. Characteristics of organizational commitment among others are: commitment to work, commitment in group, and commitment to organization (Budiharjo, 2008; Soepomo, 1998).

Governement performance can not be measured by earnings obtained where it is possible for government to have program and activity that can produce bigger income than their cost so that government will have surplus on the program. However, this does not make government performance evaluated good, but service quality is the main goal, whether service given is adequate, so Government Financial Report will be used by groups who are interested in evaluating whether government has done distribution of expense cost fairly (Mardiasmo, 2002). Managerial performance is the level of ability, competence of a manager in implementing management activities including planning, coordinating, investigating, arranging, negotiating, representing, supervising, and evaluating. Definition of managerial performance according to Mahoney (1963), managerial performance is performance of individuals of organization members in managerial activities such as; planning, investigating, coordinating, staff arranging, negotiating, and representing.
The Effect of Participation in Budgeting Making on Managerial Performance
Participation in budgeting making gives a feeling of responsibility to lower manager and encourages creativity, because on lower manager who plans or creates budgeting, the objective of budgeting is most likely the personal objective, that causes higher level of objective congruence in this matter is the high job satisfaction. The effect of participation in budgeting toward managerial performance has been previously studied by Brownell (1982) with instrument of Milani (1975) for budgeting participation, while its managerial performance instrument uses the one from Mahoney (1963). They found that there is positive and significant relationship between participation and managerial performance as well as studies from Anthony and Govindarajan (2005), Brownell and McInnes (1986), Fernando (2013).

H1: Participation in budgeting positively affects managerial performance.

The Effect of Budgetary Slack on Managerial Performance
Budgetary slack is created by manager in order to protect self from the risk of budgeting target that can not be attained (Li, Nan, & Mo, 2010; Milani, 1975; Suartana, 2010). When manager participates in budgeting, manager has greater responsibility to subordinate in order to be able to implement budgeting made better, as well as the existence of sanctions giving if it is less than budgeting target and compensation giving if it is able to exceed budgeting target. The existence of intervention possibility from higher management that is faced by managers if they are not able to attain budgeting target, managers will lose resources of organization, lose annual bonus or at the extreme point will lose job (Merchant, 1981). Nouri and Parker (1996) stated that if performance of a manager is evaluated based on running budgeting, then they will ensure their budgeting in the level that easily to be attained, one way is by including slack in their budgeting. According to the result of study from Dunk (1993) and Merchant (1981), budgetary slack will be low if the pressure of budgeting is low. Because manager performance is evaluated by budgeting as the only managerial performance evaluation, but according to Blanchette et al. (2002), budgetary slack done ethically will positively affect managerial performance, the study of Dunk (1995) stated that budgetary slack has positive role in affecting relationship of task difficulty and performance, in line with Davila and Wouters (2005) stating that the existence of budgetary slack can reduce budgeting pressure and uncertainty of budgeting target attainment that affects managerial performance. Therefore, the hypothesis that is developed is:

H2: Budgetary slack positively affects managerial performance.

The Effect of Authority Delegation on Managerial Performance
Authority delegation is a gift that becomes rights on task and responsibility to conduct something or order others to conduct something. Marani (2003) stated that authority delegation is authority giving by higher manager to lower manager to implement an authorization explicitly from manager who gives authority at the time the authority is implemented. Therefore, subordinate or middle manager who is given authority delegation of superior or leader can help top manager in decision making, task, and responsibility with expectation that organization goal can be achieved. A manager who is given authority delegation will give effect of managerial performance, if middle management is given or not in budgeting making authority. According to the result of study from Merchant (1981), it found that budgeting system design will be effective in decentralized organization. Gul et al. (1995) in Fernando (2013) found that authority delegation positively affects managerial performance.

H3: Authority delegation positively affects managerial performance.

The Effect of Organizational Commitment on Managerial Performance
Organizational commitment is believed strong and supports value and target expected by organization (Brownell, 1982; Pangastuti, 2008). According to Greenberg, Baron, and Grover (1993), employee who has high organizational commitment is the employee who is more stable and more productive so eventually also more profitable for organization. Brownell (1982) stated that employee who has high organizational commitment will be more motivated to present in organization and tries to achieve organization goal (Pangastuti, 2008). Meanwhile, (Greenberg et al., 1993) stated that organizational commitment is related to high desire to share and sacrifice for organization. It is also supported by the study conducted by Fernando (2013) and Li et al. (2010) stating that organizational commitment has positive and significant effect on managerial performance of local government work unit. The formulation on hypothesis is as the following:

H4: Organizational commitment positively affects managerial performance.
**TABLE 1.** Number of nodes and edges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Budgetary Participation</td>
<td>1. Level of involvement in budgeting planning process 2. Effect felt by Agency leader in budgeting planning process</td>
<td>1.1 Participation in budgeting making 1.2 Satisfaction in budget making 1.3 Needs to give opinion 1.4 Willingness in giving opinion 2.1 Magnitude of effect on budget determination 2.2 Frequency of superior in asking opinion when budgeting is being arranged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Milani, 1975)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budgetary Slack (X2) (Dunk, 1993)</td>
<td>1. Budgeting standard, 2. Budgeting achievement, 3. Tight budgeting, 4. Suppression budgeting, 5. Efficiency Budgeting, 6. Budgeting target.</td>
<td>1.1 Determination of budgeting standard for high productivity 2.1 Easiness in target achievement 3.1 Costs used must have limitations 4.1 Few budgeting requirements 5.1 Improvement of budgeting use efficiency 6.1 Target is easily attained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authority Delegation (X3) (Bruns &amp; Waterhouse, 1975)</td>
<td>1. Employee mutation, 2. Budgeting allocation, 3. Task implementation specification, 4. Operational decision, Decision making of leaders.</td>
<td>1.1 Appointment and displacement of employee working relation from leader/head of agency or institution, 2.1 Playing role in budgeting allocation, 1.1 Suitability of the tasks mandated 1.2 Task implementation that is in accordance with determined guidance 4.1 Authority to make operational decision Organizational Commitment (X4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 1. Continue...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable (Brownell, 1982)</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Strong belief and acceptance toward goal and value of organization. 2. Readiness to work hard. 3. Strong desire to stand in organization</td>
<td>1.1 Work evaluating system that is similar to organization. 1.2 A statement of pride working in organization. 2.1 Cooperation readiness to achieve organization goal. 1.1 Organizational opportunity to improve performance. 2.1 Cooperation readiness to achieve organization goal. 3.1 Stay in organization even though changes occur in organization. 3.2 Selection of right working place that has been considered previously. 3.3 Great concern for the future of organization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managerial Performance (Y) (Mahoney, 1963)</td>
<td>Level of managerial Performance in: 1. Planning. 2. Investigating. 3. Coordinating. 4. Evaluating. 5. Supervising. 6. Staffing. 7. Negotiating. 8. Representing.</td>
<td>1.1 The role of goal and activity plan policy determination. 2.1 Collecting information in the form of note and report. 3.1 Report adjustment. 4.1 Evaluation of working plan. 5.1 Giving direction to development of subordinate. 6.1 Placement of employee. 7.1 Plays role in determination of cooperation contract. 7.2 Has role in relating with external party. 8.1 Performance evaluation and performance overall target.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results

Based on the result of validity test, all indicators have been valid except indicator KO1 because it has t cal < 1.96. The result of reliability test obtained Composite Reliability value in each variable that is greater than 0.7, then all item of questions in study variables have been reliable.

Based on the measurement of Goodness of Fit Index, it is concluded that most of parameter has fulfilled most of requirements expected which are viewed from value of GFI, AGFI, RMSEA, ECVI, AIC, NFI, NNFI and CFI that have fulfilled the requirement of goodness of fit.

The testing result on study model can be illustrated as the following:

TABLE 2. Number of nodes and edges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relationship Model</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>T Cal</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PA → KM</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>H1 is supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS → KM</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>H2 is supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PW → KM</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>H3 is supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KO → KM</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>H4 is supported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Research equation is:

KM = 0.17*PA + 0.24*BS + 0.22*PW + 0.42*KO

Errorvar. = 0.27, $R^2 = 0.73$

Note:

PA = Budgetary Participation
BS = Budgetary Slack
PW = Authority Delegation
KO = Organizational Commitment
KM = Managerial Performance

The testing result of Hypothesis 1 proves that the effect of participation in budgeting making on managerial performance with path coefficient of 0.17 positively and significantly affects managerial performance of local government. The testing result of second hypothesis proves that Budgetary Slack positively and significantly affects managerial performance of district/city local government with path coefficient of 0.24. The testing result of third hypothesis proves that authority delegation positively and significantly affects managerial performance of district/city local government with path coefficient of 0.22. The testing result of fourth hypothesis proves that organizational commitment positively and significantly affects managerial performance.
of local government of district/city in Lampung, with path coefficient of 0.42. $R^2$ of 0.73 means that dependent variables (budgeting participation, budgetary slack, authority delegation, and organizational commitment) can explain independent variable (managerial performance) as much as 73%.

FIGURE 2. Testing result of hypothesis and SEM

Discussion
The study result proves that participation in budgeting making positively and significantly affects managerial performance of district/city government work unit in Lampung. Higher participation of in budgeting making of government work unit manager, then, managerial performance is also bigger. Participatory budgeting can be evaluated as managerial approach that can increase performance of each organization member in accordance with target that has been determined previously. Participation in budgeting making gives a feeling of responsibility to lower manager and encourages creativity, because to lower manager who plans or creates budgeting, then, budgeting objective is most likely also personal objective that causes higher level of objective congruence in this matter the high of job satisfaction. The result of this study supports the study of Bronwel and Anthony and Govindarajan (2005), Fernando (2013), Merchant (1981).

The result of this study also proves that Budgetary Slack positively and significantly affects managerial performance of district/city government work unit in Lampung, but it does not mean that government is suggested to conduct budgetary slack. In this study, budgeting is used as the base to evaluate performance of local government work unit in the following year which is by looking at budgeting realization. In this condition, it allows employees to conduct budgeting discrepancy at the time determining income target, so even though the trend of income target is always increasing but it is still easy to be realized (budgeting absorption). Budgetary Slack is created by manager in order to be able to protect self from the risk of budgeting target that can not be attained (Li et al., 2010; Milani, 1975; Setyarto, 2008). In line with the arguments from Budiharjo (2008), Merchant (1981), Wartika et al. (2015), Young (1985) stating that the existence of budgetary slack will make manager more creative, more freely to conduct their operational activity, manager is also able to anticipate the existence of uncertainty, so morally they evaluate budgetary slack as something that is positive and ethical. Moreover, budgetary slack also can prevent manager from budgeting pressure, so performance of manager will be more stable. The existence of intervention possibility from higher manager faced
by manager if managers are not able to attain budgeting target, then manager will lose resources of organization, lose annual bonus or at the extreme point, will lose job (Merchant, 1981). The study result is in accordance with the study of Blanchette et al. (2002) that found budgetary slack done ethically will positively affect managerial performance, as well as the study of Dunk (1995) stating that budgetary slack has positive role in affecting relationship of task difficulty and performance similarly to Davila and Wouters (2005) stating that the existence of budgetary slack can reduce budgeting pressure and uncertainty of budgeting target attainment, so it positively affects managerial performance.

Based on the result of this study, authority delegation is proven to positively affect managerial performance of district/city government work unit in Lampung. It means that the better authority delegation, the greater managerial performance. Subordinate who is given authority delegation from superior or leader can help top manager in decision making, task and responsibility with expectation that organizational objective can be achieved. The study result supports the study of Gul et al. (1995) in Fernando (2013), Marani (2003), Merchant (1981), Zulifikar (2016).

The result of this study also proves that organizational commitment positively affects managerial performance of district/city government in Lampung. The higher organizational commitment, the greater managerial performance. Strong organizational commitment inside individual will cause the individual to try hard in attaining organizational objective in accordance with organization goal and importance, as well as will have positive view and will try their best for organization importance. High commitment makes individual concern with the fate of organization and tries to make organization to be better. The study result supports the study of Fernando (2013) Greenberg et al. (1993), (Li et al., 2010), Pangastuti (2008).

CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATIONS

Conclusion

1. Participation in budgeting making, budgetary slack, authority delegation, and organizational commitment positively and significantly affect managerial performance of local government of district/city in Lampung. It means that higher participation in budgeting making, budgetary slack, authority delegation, and organizational commitment, then, managerial performance will be greater.

2. Budgetary Slack has positively and significantly affects managerial performance of local government of district/city in Lampung. Where Budgetary Slack will be able to keep manager away from budgeting pressure and uncertainty of budgeting target achievement, so it affects on managerial performance improvement. This result is caused by performance evaluation of local government that is measured based on realization of budgeting target so that it needs to redefine evaluation of managerial performance. Budgetary slack is allowed as long as it is ethical. However, budgetary slack that is not ethical is not allowed.

Implications

For local government of Lampung Province, it should give great opportunity for its employees to participate in local budgeting making in order to improve managerial performance in the future. Moreover, the existence of budgetary slack in budgeting making will affect performance, so supervision is needed on managerial performance of local government work unit so that income potential in District/City of Lampung Province can be optimized. Local government party also needs to develop working commitment of the employees, so they have high commitment at work. In the matter of authority delegation, for instance its responsibility that is started from planning to implementation object, it is always initiated from staff to superior that can be more optimal.
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